0040-4020(95)00225-1

Synthesis and Glycosylating Properties of Ketopyranosyl Donors

B.M. Heskamp, G.H. Veeneman[§], G.A.van der Marel, C.A.A. van Boeckel[§] and J.H. van Boom*

Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Gorlaeus Laboratories, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA, Leiden, The Netherlands

§N.V. Organon, Scientific Development Group, P.O. Box 20, 5430 BH, Oss, The Netherlands

Abstract: The preparation of heptulopyranosyl donors 13-15, 28, 29 and 3-octulopyranosyl donors 34, 35, having a non-participating group at C-3 or C-4, respectively, is described. Glycosylations of various acceptors with these donors gave exclusively to-linked ketosides. On the other hand, condensation of 3-O-benzoyl heptulopyranosyl donor 19 with an acceptor furnished an anomeric mixture of ketodisaccharides.

Introduction

The stereoselective synthesis of oligosaccharides containing ketopyranosyl units still presents, despite many efforts, a major problem in sugar chemistry. For example, zinc chloride promoted coupling of exo-cyclic epoxides with various glycosyl acceptors led to anomeric mixtures of ketoglycosides. On the other hand, α-linked 1-deoxy-1-iodo-ketoglycosides 2 (see Scheme 1) could be prepared successfully via iodonium di-sym-collidine perchlorate (IDCP) mediated condensation of an exo-cyclic glycal, readily

P=protective group; X=leaving group.

Scheme 1

accessible by Tebbe methylenation³ of the corresponding δ -lactone 1, with an appropriate acceptor (ROH). Unfortunately, the neopentylic nature of the iodine atom in the resulting ketoglycoside 2 encumbers^{4,5}, or may even prohibit^{6,7} its transformation into the required hydroxyl group.

Preliminary studies from this laboratory revealed⁸ that the latter disadvantage could be circumvented by condensing glycosyl acceptors with ketopyranosyl donors 3 (X=SEt, F, see Scheme 1), the common precursor (3, X=OH) of which was readily prepared by the addition of an α -alkoxymethylating agent (i.e. 9) to lactone 1.

We here report in detail on the use of the respective D-galacto- and L-fuco-heptulopyranosides 3 and 5 (X=OH, F or SEt) in the synthesis of α -ketopyranosides. Moreover, it will be shown that the L-fuco-3-octulopyranosides 7 (X=SEt), accessible by fluoride ion promoted reaction of δ -lactone 4 with ethyl trimethylsilylacetate⁹ and elaboration of the resulting addition product 6, are effective glycosyl donors.

Results and discussion

The requisite D-galacto-heptulopyranosyl donors 13-15 were prepared by the sequence of reactions portrayed in Scheme 2. Thus, addition of [(methoxymethoxy)methyl]lithium 9a, generated in situ by

Reagents and conditions:

(i) BuLi, THF, -78°C; (ii) 9a,b, THF, -78°C (11: 89%, 12: 65%, 18: 74%); (iii) DAST, CH_2Cl_2 , -20°C (13: 88%, 14: 85%); (iv) EtSH, BF₃·OEt₂, CCl_3CN (64%); (v) Swern oxidation (78%); (vi) DAST, THF, -20°C; (b) BzCl, pyridine (75%, 2 steps).

Scheme 2

tin/lithium exchange of the corresponding stannane derivative $8a^{11}$, to the known¹² perbenzylated D-galactono-1,5-lactone 10 afforded the anomerically pure α -D-galacto-heptulopyranose 11. Transformation¹³ of the anomeric hydroxyl group in 11 under the agency of diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST) yielded the homogeneous α -fluoride 13, as gauged by the large coupling constant (i.e. 23.2 Hz)¹⁴ between H-3 and the fluorine atom. In a similar fashion, the partially benzylated heptulopyranose 12 was obtained by treatment of 10 with the benzyloxymethylating agent 9b. DAST mediated fluorination or glycosidation¹⁵ of

12 with ethanethiol in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate (BF₃·OEt₂) led to the α -ketopyranosyl donors 14 and 15, respectively.

The results of the glycosylations of the acceptor methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-α-D-mannopyranoside 16 (20) with the individual donors 13-15 are recorded in Table 1. It can be seen (entry 1) that condensation of

Table 1. Relevant Data on the Glycosylations of Acceptor 20 with D-Galacto-donors 13-15 and 19.

Entry	Donor	Acceptor	Promoter	Product	Yield ^a (α/β)
1	13	20	Cp ₂ ZrCl ₂ , AgOTf	BnO OBn OMOM BnO OBnO OBnO OBnO OBnO OBnO OBnO OBnO	54% (1/0) 1e
2	14	20	BF ₃ ·OEt₂	BnO OBn BnO OBn BnO OBn BnO OBn BnO OBn OBnO OBn OBnO	58% (1/0)
3	15	20	IDCP	24	75% (1/0)
4	19	20	Cp ₂ ZrCl ₂ , AgOTf	BnO OBn O OR BzO O BnO O BnO OBnO	
				ON 25 R=MOM	/le 32% (1/1)
				26 R=H	17% (1/0)
^a Bas	ed on dor	nor.			
BnO - BnO	BnO	7	но 🗸	7 Ph O	OC ₆ H ₁₁
	20 OMe		NPhth 21 22		NPhth

fluoride 13 in the presence of the promoter bis(cyclopentadienyl)zirconium dichloride/silver triflate¹⁷ with acceptor 20 led to the exclusive formation of dimer 23, the α-configuration of which was corroborated by ¹H NMR 2D NOESY experiments. A similar result was obtained (entry 2) in the BF₃·OEt₂ mediated ¹⁸ glycosylation of acceptor 20 with the fully benzylated donor 14. It is also worth noting (entry 3) that glycosylation of 20 with the ethyl \alpha-thioglycosyl donor 15 in the presence of the thiophilic promoter IDCP¹⁹ resulted in a higher yield of disaccharide 24 (cf. entry 2). The stereoselective outcome of the above mentioned glycosylations urged us to examine whether the stereochemistry could be reversed by the use of the α -fluoride donor 19 (see Scheme 2) having a participating benzoyl group at O-3. To this end, 2-Oacetyl-3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-D-galactopyranose (16), accessible via regioselective acid hydrolysis of the orthoester function in 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-1,2-O-(1-methoxyethylidene)-α-D-galactopyranose²⁰. was oxidized (Swern) to give the δ-lactone 17. Treatment of 17 with 9a yielded the deacetylated ketose derivative 18 which, after fluorination and then benzovlation, resulted in the isolation of the α-fluoride 19. Glycosylation of 20 with 19 in the presence of bis(cyclopentadienyl)zirconium dichloride/silver triflate (Table 1, entry 4) gave, after work-up and purification, disaccharide 25 (ot/\beta mixture) as well as the anomerically pure dimer 26, lacking the MOM protective group. The presence of the α-linkage in the latter dimer was firmly established on the basis of ¹H NMR 2D NOESY experiments performed with the corresponding fully protected derivative obtained by reaction of 26 with chloromethyl methyl ether.

In order to probe the validity of the stereoselective formation of α -ketodisaccharides starting from D-galacto-heptulopyranosyl donors, we also prepared (see Scheme 3) the L-fuco-heptulo- and L-fuco-3-octulopyranosyl donors **28**, **29** and **34**, **35**, respectively. The former two donors are readily accessible, as depicted in Scheme 3, by benzyloxymethylation of 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-L-fucono-1,5-lactone²¹ (**27**) with reagent **9b** and subsequent transformation of **28** into the corresponding α -oriented ethyl thioglycoside **29**.

Reagents and conditions:

(i) 9b, THF, -78°C (92%); (ii) EtSH, BF₃·OEt₂, CCl₃CN (85%) (iii) TMSCH₂COOEt, TBAF, THF, 50°C (**30**: 71%, **31**: 13%); (iv) EtSH, TMSOTf, CH₂Cl₂ (94%); (v) DIPEA, Tf₂O, EtSH, CH₂Cl₂ (83%); (vi) LiAIH₄, THF, reflux (100%); (vii) TBDPSCl, pyridine (91%); (viii) SEMCl, DIPEA, dioxane (87%).

Scheme 3

On the other hand, extension of lactone 27 with ethyl trimethylsilylacetate under the influence of tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF), according to the procedure of Csuk $et~al.^9$, gave the expected α -trimethylsilyl derivative 30 as major product together with the desilylated product 31. Reaction of 30 with ethanethiol and trimethylsilyl triflate proceeded with retention of configuration to give the ethyl α -thioglycoside 32. In addition, conversion of the minor product 31 into 32 could be effected by triflation of 31 with triflic anhydride in the presence of diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) and subsequent addition of ethanethiol. Reduction of the ester moiety in 32 with lithium aluminium hydride led to the isolation of ethyl 4,5,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-3-thio- α -L-fuco-3-octulopyranoside (33). Reaction of the primary hydroxyl group in 33 with either tert-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride (TBDPSCl) or 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxymethyl chloride (SEMCl) gave the respective fully protected glycosyl donors 34 and 35.

The stereochemistry and yield of the glycosylations of acceptors 20-22 with the four L-fuco donors 28-29 and 34-35 are summarized in Table 2. Perusal of the data in Table 2 reveals that all glycosylations proceeded with a high degree of α -stereoselectivity. Further, BF₃·OEt₂ assisted condensation (entry 1) of the primary hydroxyl group in acceptor 20 with donor 28 gave an acceptable yield of dimer 36. It is also evident that the secondary alcoholic function of cyclohexanol (21) could be effectively glycosylated (entries 2 and 4) in the presence of the thiophilic promoter IDCP or iodonium di-sym-collidine triflate²² (IDCT) with the ethyl α -thioglycosides 29 and 34. On the other hand, iodonium ion mediated condensation (entry 3) of the secondary hydroxyl group in cyclohexyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-2-phtalimido- β -D-glucopyranoside²³ (22) with donor 29 proceeded less effectively. Moreover, the concomitant formation of the elimination product 41 (entry 5) resulted in a further decrease of yield of disaccharide 40.

In conclusion, the methodology presented in this paper promises to be an effective synthetic route towards the preparation of highly functionalized α -ketosaccharides. Thus, we believe that this versatile approach facilitates the future design and synthesis of multisubstrate analogues⁷ for glycosyltransferases.

Experimental

General procedures.

1,2-Dichloroethane, dichloromethane, diethyl ether and toluene were distilled from P₂O₅. Dioxane, pyridine and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were dried by refluxing with CaH₂ (5 g/L) and then distilled. All anhydrous solvents were stored on 0.4 nm molecular sieves. Trichloroacetonitrile was distilled before use. Schleicher and Schüll DC Fertigfolien F 1500 LS 254 were used for TLC analysis. Compounds were visualized by UV light (254 nm) and by charring with 20% sulfuric acid in methanol. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60, 230-400 mesh (Merck). The petroleum ether used for elution during chromatography was light boiling (40-60°C). Gel filtration was performed on Sephadex LH-20 from Pharmacia. ¹H NMR (200 MHz) and ¹³C NMR spectra (50.1 MHz) were recorded using a Jeol JNM-FX 200 spectrometer, unless stated otherwise. ¹H NMR (300 MHz) spectra were recorded using a Bruker WM-300 spectrometer and ¹H NMR (400 MHz) spectra were recorded using a Bruker MSL-400 spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane as internal standard.

3,4,5,7-Tetra-O-benzyl-1-O-methoxymethyl-\alpha-p-galacto-heptulopyranose (11).

To a solution of $Bu_3SnCH_2OCH_2OCH_3$ (8a, 2.19 g, 6.0 mmol), dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 5 ml), in THF (15 mL) was added *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 3.7 mL, 5.9 mmol) under nitrogen with stirring while the temperature was maintained below -75°C. After 5 min, a solution of 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-galactono-1,5-lactone (10, 1.07 g, 2.0 mmol), previously dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 5 ml), in THF (5 mL) was added *via* syringe. After 15 min TLC analysis indicated complete

Table 2. Relevant Data on the Glycosylations of Acceptors 20-22 with L-Fuco-donors 28, 29, 34 and 35.

Entry	Donor	Acceptor	Promoter	Product	Yield ^a (α/β)
1	28	20	BF₃⁺OEt₂	BnO BnO OBn OBn OBn	e 65% (1/0)
2	29	21	IDCP (IDCT)	36 OOBn OBn 37	85% (1/0)
3	29	22	IDCP (IDCT)	Ph OO OCO	66% (1/0)
4	34	21	IDCT (IDCP)	O O OTBDPS BnO OBn 39	95% (1/0)
5	35	22	IDCT (IDCP)	Ph O O OC NPhth OSEM BnO OBn 40	55% (1/0)
				OSEM OBn OBn 41	13%

^aBased on donor.

disappearance of the lactone. The reaction mixture was quenched with a 10% NH₄Cl solution and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/2, v/v) to afford 11 as a colourless oil (1.09 g, 89%). R_f 0.45 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1 H NMR (300 MHz) (CDCl₃) δ 3.31 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.52 (dd, 1 H, H-7, J_{6,7} = 5.7 Hz, J_{7,7} = -9.1 Hz), 3.55 (AB, 2 H, H-1), 3.58 (dd, 1 H, H-7', J_{6,7} = 7.6 Hz), 3.66 (d, 1 H, OH, J_{OH,3} = -0.8 Hz), 3.91 (bd, 1 H, H-3, J_{3,4} = 10.5 Hz), 4.01 (dd, 1 H, H-4, J_{4,5} = 2.7 Hz), 4.02 (dd, 1 H, H-5, J_{5,6} = 1.8 Hz), 4.13 (ddd, 1 H, H-6, J_{5,6} = 1.8 Hz), 4.43-4.79 (5 × AB, 10 H, 4 × CH₂ benzyl, O-CH₂-O), 7.20-7.48 (m, 20 H, H_{arom.}); 13 C{ 1 H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.3 (OCH₃), 68.5, 70.3 (C-1, C-7), 69.9, 74.3, 75.9, 80.4 (C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 72.3, 73.2, 74.3 75.2 (4 × CH₂ benzyl), 96.9, 97.4 (C-2, O-CH₂-O), 127.3-128.2 (CH_{arom.}), 137.9-138.7 (C_{arom.}).

1,3,4,5,7-Penta-O-benzyl-α-D-galacto-heptulopyranose (12).

Lactone 10 (2.15 g, 4.0 mmol) was treated with reagent 8b (3.29 g, 8.0 mmol) as described for the preparation of derivative 11. Work-up and purification gave 12 as an oil in 65% yield (1.72 g). R_f 0.42 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1H NMR (300 MHz) (CDCl₃) δ 3.43 (d, 1 H, H-1, $J_{1,1'}$ = -10.4 Hz), 3.48 (d, 1 H, OH, $J_{OH,3}$ = -0.5 Hz), 3.53 (d, 1 H, H-1'), 3.56 (dd, 1 H, H-7, $J_{6,7}$ = 5.8 Hz, $J_{7,7'}$ = -9.1 Hz), 3.62 (dd, 1 H, H-7', $J_{6,7'}$ = 7.6 Hz), 3.97 (bd, 1 H, H-3, $J_{3,4}$ = 9.0 Hz), 3.99-4.05 (m, 2 H, H-4, H-5), 4.16 (ddd, 1 H, H-6, $J_{5,6}$ = 1.4 Hz), 4.46-5.10 (4 × AB, 8 H, 4 × CH₂ benzyl), 4.57 (s, 2 H, CH₂ benzyl), 7.20-7.48 (m, 25 H, I_{arom}); 13 C{ 11 H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 68.5, 71.8 (C-1 and C-7), 69.9, 74.2, 75.5, 80.3 (C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 72.1, 73.0, 73.4, 74.2, 75.0 (5 × CH₂ benzyl), 97.8 (C-2), 127.2-128.0 (CH_{arom}), 137.6-138.6 (C_{arom}).

3,4,5,7-Tetra-O-benzyl-1-O-methoxymethyl-\alpha-D-galacto-heptulopyranosyl Fluoride (13).

DAST (0.25 mL, 1.9 mmol) was added to a solution of compound 11 (1.00 g, 1.6 mmol), dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 5 ml), in THF (10 ml) under nitrogen with stirring at -20°C. TLC analysis showed complete conversion of the starting material to the fluoride after 45 min. The reaction mixture was quenched with methanol (5 mL) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and water. Drying over MgSO₄ and evaporation of the solvent yielded 13 (880 mg, 88%). R_f 0.83 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1 H NMR (300 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 3.31 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.58 (dd, 1 H, H-7, J_{6.7} = 5.6 Hz, J_{7.7} = -9.1 Hz), 3.64 (dd, 1 H, H-7', J_{6.7} = 7.7 Hz), 3.65 (dd, 1 H, H-1, J_{1,1} = -11.0 Hz, J_{1,F} = 2.9 Hz), 3.81 (dd, 1 H, H-1', J_{1',F} = 9.3 Hz), 3.99 (dd, 1 H, H-4, J_{3,4} = 10.1 Hz, J_{4.5} = 2.7 Hz), 4.08 (dd, 1 H, H-5, J_{5.6} = 1.3 Hz), 4.12 (ddd, 1 H, H-6), 4.19 (dd, 1 H, H-3, J_{3,F} = 23.2 Hz), 4.46-4.77 (5 × AB, 10 H, 4 × CH₂ benzyl, O-CH₂-O), 7.20-7.47 (m, 20 H, H_{arom}); 13 C{ 1 H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.2 (OCH₃), 66.6 (d, C-1, J_{1,F} = 36.6 Hz), 67.8 (C-7), 72.1, 73.6, 79.7 (C-4, C-5, C-6), 74.7 (d, C-3, J_{3,F} = 24.9 Hz), 72.3, 73.2, 74.3, 75.2 (4 × CH₂ benzyl), 96.4 (O-CH₂-O), 112.9 (d, C-2, J_{2,F} = 225.7 Hz), 127.0-128.2 (CH_{arom}); 137.6-138.5 (C_{arom}). Anal. calcd. for C₃₇H₄₄O₇F (616.73): C 72.06, H 6.70; found C 72.11, H 6.79%.

1,3,4,5,7-Penta-O-benzyl-1-α-D-galacto-heptulopyranosyl Fluoride (14).

Compound 12 (700 mg, 1.0 mmol) was treated with DAST (0.17 mL, 1.3 mmol) as described for the preparation of fluoride 13, to give 14 in 85% yield (600 mg). R_f 0.80 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 1/1, v/v); 1H NMR (300 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 3.38 (dd, 1 H, H-1, $J_{1,F}$ = 2.0 Hz, $J_{1,1}$: = -11.0 Hz), 3.61 (dd, 1 H, H-7, $J_{6,7}$ = 5.6 Hz, $J_{7,7}$: = -9.1 Hz), 3.68 (t, 1 H, H-7', J = 8.4 Hz), 3.87 (dd, 1 H, H-1', $J_{1',F}$ = 7.3 Hz), 3.98 (dd, 1 H, H-4, $J_{3,4}$ = 10.1 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ = 2.7 Hz), 4.09 (bd, 1 H, H-5), 4.14 (bt, 1 H, H-6), 4.31 (dd, 1 H, H-3, $J_{3,F}$ = 23.4 Hz), 4.43-5.01 (5 × AB, 10 H, 5 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.22-7.46 (m, 25 H, $H_{arom.}$); $^{13}C(^{1}H)$ NMR (CDCl₃) δ 68.1 (C-7), 69.4 (d, C-1, $J_{1',F}$ = 38.1 Hz), 72.4, 73.9, 79.9 (C-4, C-5, C-6), 74.8 (d, C-3, $J_{3,F}$ = 23.4 Hz), 72.5, 73.3, 73.4, 74.4, 75.4 (5 × CH₂ benzyl), 113.5 (d, C-2, $J_{2,F}$ =225.7 Hz), 127.3-128.3 (CH_{arom.}), 137.7-138.6 (C_{arom.}). Anal. calcd. for $C_{42}H_{43}O_6F$ (662.80): C 76.11, H 6.54, F 2.87; found C 76.21, H 6.70, F 2.91%.

Ethyl 1,3,4,5,7-Penta-O-benzyl-2-thio-α-D-galacto-heptulopyranoside (15).

Compound 12 (500 mg, 0.76 mmol) was dried by evaporation with toluene (3 \times 5 mL), dissolved in trichloroacetonitrile (5 mL) and stirred for 5 min with crushed molecular sieves (0.4 nm). EtSH (100 μ L, 1.35 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (93 μ L, 0.76 mmol) were added. After stirring for 2.5 h, TLC analysis showed complete disappearance of the starting compound. The reaction mixture was quenched with TEA, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was redissolved in dichloromethane and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and water. Drying (MgSO₄), evaporation of the solvent and subsequent purification of

the crude product by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/3, v/v) yielded **15** as an oil (340 mg, 64%). R_f 0.54 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 1/1, v/v); 1H NMR (300 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.50 (ABX, 2 H, SCH₂CH₃), 3.62 (dd, 1 H, H-7, $J_{6,7} = 6.2$ Hz, $J_{7,7} = -9.4$ Hz), 3.62 (dd, 1 H, H-7', $J_{6,7} = 6.6$ Hz), 3.71 (d, 1 H, H-1, $J_{1,1'} = -11.6$ Hz), 4.02 (dd, 1 H, H-5, $J_{4,5} = 2.8$ Hz, $J_{5,6} = 1.1$ Hz), 4.04 (d, 1 H, H-1'), 4.10 (dd, 1 H, H-4, $J_{3,4} = 9.9$ Hz), 4.14 (ddd, 1 H, H-6), 4.37-5.05 (4 × AB, 1 s, 10 H, 5 × CH₂ benzyl), 4.60 (d, 1 H, H-3), 7.12-7.38 (m, 25 H, J_{arom}); $J_{3,6} = 1.1$ Hz), 3.3, 73.6, 74.3, 75.2 (5 × CH₂ benzyl), 92.2 (C-2), 127.0-128.2 (CH_{arom}), 137.0-139.0 (C_{arom}). Anal. calcd. for $C_{44}H_{48}O_6S$ (704.93): C 74.97, H 6.87; found C 75.09, H 6.96%.

2-O-Acetyl-3,4,5,7-tetra-O-benzyl-α-D-galactono-1,5-lactone (17).

A solution of DMSO (0.53 mL, 7.4 mmol) in dichloromethane (1 mL) was added to a cooled (-60°C) solution of oxalylchloride (0.30 mL, 3.4 mmol) in dichloromethane (15 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 15 min at -60°C compound 16 (1.60 g, 3.1 mmol), dissolved in dichloromethane (2 mL), was added dropwise and stirring was continued for 30 min at this temperature. TEA (2 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. After quenching with water the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Subsequent purification of the crude product by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/3, v/v) furnished lactone 17. Yield 1.30 g (81%); R_f 0.55 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.08 (s, 3 H, CH₃ acetyl), 3.69 (dd, 1 H, H-6, $I_{5,6}$ = 5.9 Hz, $I_{6,6'}$ = -9.0 Hz), 3.73 (t, 1 H, H-6', $I_{5,6}$ = 9.3 Hz), 4.02 (dd, 1 H, H-3, $I_{5,6}$ = 1.00 Hz, $I_{5,6}$ = 2.2 Hz), 4.23 (bt, 1 H, H-4, $I_{5,6}$ = 1.8 Hz), 4.43 (ddd, 1 H, H-5), 5.46 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.46-4.98 (3 × AB, 6 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.25-7.37 (m, 15 H, I_{4arom}); $I_{5,6}$ = 1.8 Hz), 4.43 (ddd, 1 H, H-5), 5.46 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.46-4.98 (3 × AB, 6 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.25-7.37 (m, 15 H, I_{4arom}); $I_{5,6}$ = 1.8 NMR (CDCl₃) δ 20.3 (CH₃ acetyl), 67.2 (C-6), 71.1, 71.6, 77.3, 77.4 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 71.7, 73.3, 74.6 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 127.0-128.2 (CH_{arom}), 137.1-137.3 (C_{arom}), 166.4 (C-1)169.9 (C=0 acetyl).

4,5,7-Tri-O-benzyl-1-O-methoxymethyl-α-D-galacto-heptulopyranose (18).

Compound 17 (1.40 g, 2.9 mmol) was treated with reagent 8a (3.2 g, 8.7 mmol) as described for the preparation of derivative 11. Work-up and purification afforded 18 as an oil in 74% yield (1.11 g). R_f 0.10 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.37 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.39-3.78 (m, 4 H, H-4, H-6, H-7), 3.77 (AB, 2 H, H-1), 3.97 (d, 1 H, H-3, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz), 4.02 (dd, 1 H, H-5, $J_{4,5} = 2.6$ Hz, $J_{5,6} = 1.0$ Hz), 4.13 (ddd, 1 H, H-6, $J_{6,7} = 5.9$ Hz, $J_{6,7} = 7.2$ Hz), 4.46-4.91 (s, 3 × AB, 8 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl, O-CH₂-O), 7.24-7.38 (m, 15 H, $J_{arom.}$); $^{13}C(^1H)$ NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.2 (OCH₃), 68.6, 70.6 (C-1, C-7), 69.1, 69.9, 73.6, 79.8 (C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 72.1, 73.1, 74.2 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 96.8 (C-2, O-CH₂-O), 127.3-128.2 (CH_{arom.}), 137.7-138.4 (C_{arom.}).

3-O-Benzoyl-4,5,7-tri-O-benzyl-1-O-methoxymethyl-α-D-galacto-heptulopyranosyl Fluoride (19).

Compound 18 (740 mg, 1.4 mmol) was treated with DAST (0.21 mL, 1.6 mmol) as described for the preparation of fluoride 13 to give the anomeric fluoride [610 mg, R_f 0.48 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v)], which was subsequently dried by evaporation with pyridine (3 × 3 mL) and dissolved in pyridine (5 mL). Benzoyl chloride (0.16 mL, 1.4 mmol) was added and after stirring for 2 h TLC analysis indicated completion of the reaction. Water was added and the mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. A solution of the residue in dichloromethane was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and water, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/2, v/v) to give pure 19. Yield: 670 mg (75% over two steps) R_f 0.81 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (400 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 3.24 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.48-3.72 (m, 4 H, H-7, H-1), 4.03 (dd, 1 H, H-4, $I_{3,4}$ = 10.3 Hz, $I_{4,5}$ = 2.6 Hz), 4.13 (bd, 1 H, H-5), 4.19 (bt, 1 H, H-6, $I_{6,7}$ = $I_{6,7}$ = 6.9 Hz), 4.46-5.01 (4 × AB, 8 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl, O-CH₂-O), 5.96 (dd, 1 H, H-3, $I_{3,F}$ = 23.1 Hz), 7.11-8.08 (m, 19 H, I_{4rom}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.2 (OCH₃), 67.1 (d, C-1, $I_{1,F}$ = 32.3 Hz), 67.9 (C-7), 69.0 (d, C-3, $I_{3,F}$ = 24.9 Hz), 71.9, 73.3, 74.4 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 72.4, 72.8, 77.2 (C-4, C-5, C-6), 96.5 (O-CH₂-O), 112.6 (d, C-2, $I_{2,F}$ = 227.1 Hz), 127.3-133.1 (CH_{arom}), 137.4-138.2 (C_{arom}), 165.3 (C=O, benzoyl).

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-6-O-(3,4,5,7-tetra-O-benzyl-1-O-methoxymethyl- α -D-galacto-heptulo-pyranosyl)- α -D-mannopyranoside (23).

Cp₂ZrCl₂ (190 mg, 0.65 mmol) and activated AgOTf (165 mg, 0.64 mmol) were dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL) and stirred for 5 min with crushed molecular sieves (0.4 nm) under a nitrogen atmosphere and under the exclusion of light. A solution of compound 20 (300 mg, 0.65 mmol), dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 2 mL), in dichloromethane (1 mL) was added and stirring was continued. After 5 min donor 13 (200 mg, 0.32 mmol), dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 2 mL), dissolved in dichloromethane (1 mL) was added at -25°C and stirring was continued for 1 h at this temperature, when TLC analysis showed complete disappearance of the fluoride. The reaction mixture was quenched with TEA, filtered and washed with a 10% NH₄Cl solution and with water. After drying (MgSO₄) and concentration, the crude product was purified by gel filtration (dichloromethane/methanol, 2/1, v/v) to give 23 as an oil. Yield 186 mg (54 %), R_f 0.83 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (300 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 3.11 (s, 3 H, Man: OCH₃), 3.26 (s, 3 H, Gal: OCH₃), 3.55 (dd, 1 H, Gal: H-7, J_{6.7} = 5.6 Hz, J_{7,7}; = -9.4 Hz), 3.59 (d, 1 H, Gal: H-1, J_{1,1}; = -10.7 Hz), 3.62-3.80 (m, 6 H, Gal: H-7', Man: H-2, H-4, H-5, H-6, H-6, H-7) 6'), 3.75 (d, 1H, Gal: H-1'), 3.84 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-3, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 8.7$ Hz), 3.99 (dd, 1 H, Gal: H-5, $J_{4,5} = 2.8$ Hz, $J_{5,6}$ = 1.3 Hz), 4.03 (dd, 1 H, Gal: H-4, $J_{3,4}$ = 10.0 Hz), 4.17 (ddd, 1 H, Gal: H-6, $J_{6,7}$ = 5.6 Hz), 4.29 (d, 1 H, Gal: H-3), 4.57 (s, 2 H) H, CH₂ benzyl), 4.61 (d, 1 H, Man: H-1), 4.44-4.83 (7 × AB, 14 H, 6 × CH₂ benzyl, O-CH₂-O), 7.14-7.35 (m, 35 H, H_{arom}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 54.3 (Man: OCH₃), 55.4 (Gal: OCH₃), 61.6 (Man: C-6), 67.3, 68.6 (Gal: C-1, C-7), 69.0, 69.9, 71.5, 74.6, 75.5, 76.1, 79.9, 80.3 (Gal: C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, Man: C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 69.0, 72.1, 72.2, 72.5, 73.0, 74.2, 74.7 (7 × CH₂) benzyl), 96.7 (O-CH₂-O), 98.5 (Man: C-1), 101.1 (Gal: C-2), 127.1-128.2 (CH_{arom}), 138.2-139.0 (C_{arom}). ¹H NMR (300MHz 2D NOESY): A NOE effect was observed between H-1 and H-3 of the galactose moiety.

Anal. calcd. for C₆₅H₇₂O₁₃ (1061.28): C 73.57, H 6.84; found C 73.72, H 6.91%.

Methyl 2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-6-O-(1,3,4,5,7-penta-O-benzyl-α-D-galacto-heptulopyranosyl)-α-D-mannopyranoside (24).

Method A: Donor 14 (125 mg, 0.19 mmol) and methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-α-D-mannopyranoside (20, 105 mg, 0.23 mmol) were dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 2 mL), dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL) and stirred for 5 min with crushed molecular sieves (0.4 nm) under a nitrogen atmosphere at -20°C. BF₃·OEt₂ (10 μL, 0.081 mmol) was added and stirring was continued for 45 min, when TLC analysis (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v) showed complete disappearance of the fluoride. The reaction mixture was quenched with TEA, filtered, diluted with dichloromethane and washed with a 10% NH₄Cl solution and water. Drying (MgSO₄), evaporation of the solvent and subsequent purification of the residue by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/2, v/v) yielded 24 as an oil (122 mg, 58%).

Method B: Compound **15** (55 mg, 0.078 mmol) and acceptor **20** (36 mg, 0.078 mmol) were dried by evaporation with 1,2-dichloroethane (3 × 2 mL), dissolved in dichloroethane/diethyl ether (1/4, v/v, 5 mL) and stirred for 30 min with crushed molecular sieves (0.4 nm) under a nitrogen atmosphere. IDCP (73 mg, 0.156 mmol) was added and stirring was continued for 1 h, when TLC analysis (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 1/1, v/v) showed complete disappearance of the donor. The reaction mixture was filtered, diluted with diethyl ether and washed with a 1 M Na₂S₂O₃ solution, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification as described for *method A* yielded **24** as an oil (65 mg, 75%), R_f 0.44 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 1/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (300 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 3.09 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.53 (d, 1 H, Gal: H-1, J_{1,1} = -10.8 Hz), 3.59 (dd, 1 H, Gal: H-7, J_{6,7} = 5.7 Hz, J_{7,7} = -9.3 Hz), 3.60-3.73 (m, 6 H, Gal: H-7', Man: H-2, H-4, H-5, H-6, H-6'), 3.77 (d, 1 H, Gal: H-1'), 3.83 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-3, J_{2,3} = 3.1 Hz, J_{3,4} = 8.7 Hz), 4.01 (bs, i H, Gal: H-5), 4.02 (bd, 1 H, Gal: H-4, J_{3,4} = 11.7 Hz), 4.18 (bt, 1 H, Gal: H-6, J_{6,7} , J_{6,7} = 6.6 Hz), 4.34 (d, 1 H, Gal: H-3), 4.61 (d, 1 H, Man: H-1, J_{1,2} = 1.8 Hz), 4.32-5.03 (2 × s and 5 × AB, 14 H, 7 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.13-7.37 (m, 35 H, H_{arom}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 54.3 (OCH₃), 61.6 (Man: C-6), 68.7, 70.2 (Gal: C-1, C-7), 70.0, 71.6, 74.6, 75.0, 75.5, 76.2, 79.8, 80.2 (Gal; C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, Man: C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 72.0, 72.2, 72.5, 73.0, 73.4, 74.3, 2 × 74.8 (8 × CH₂ benzyl), 98.4 (Man: C-1), 101.5 (Gal: C-2), 127.0-128.2 (CH_{arom}, benzyl), 138.2-139.1 (C_{arom}, benzyl).

Anal. calcd. for $C_{70}H_{74}O_{12}$ (1107.36): C 75.93, H 6.74; found C 76.01, H 6.70%.

Methyl 6-O-(3-O-Benzoyl-4,5,7-tri-O-benzyl-1-O-methoxymethyl- α / β -D-galacto-heptulopyranosyl)-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-mannopyranoside (25).

Donor 19 (100 mg, 0.16 mmol) was treated at -25°C with a mixture of Cp₂ZrCl₂ (90 mg, 0.32 mmol), activated AgOTf (82 mg, 0.32) and acceptor 20 (150 mg, 0.32 mmol) as described for the synthesis of disaccharide 23. After 2.5 h TLC analysis showed

complete disappearance of the fluoride, and the mixture was worked up as described earlier. Three disaccharides were obtained after gel filtration (dichloromethane/methanol, 2/1, v/v), which were separated by silicagel column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/2, v/v). First 25α was eluted (28 mg, R_f 0.59, diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1H NMR (400 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 3.10 (s, 3 H, Man: OCH₃), 3.13 (s, 3 H, Gal: OCH₃), 3.55 (dd, 1 H, Gal: H-7, $J_{6,7}$ = 5.7 Hz, $J_{7,7'}$ = -9.2 Hz), 3.60 (s, 2 H, Gal: H-1), 3.61-3.88 (m, 7 H, Gal: H-7', Man: H-2, H-3, H-4, H-5, H-6), 4.03 (bs, 1 H, Gal: H-5), 4.04 (dd, 1 H, Gal: H-4, $J_{3,4}$ = 9.6 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ = 2.7 Hz), 4.15 (bt, 1 H, Gal: H-6, $J_{6,7}$ = 6.5 Hz), 4.37-5.02 (1 s, $6 \times AB$, 14 H, $6 \times CH_2$ benzyl, O-CH₂-O), 4.65 (d, 1 H, Man: H-1, $J_{1,2}$ = 1.9), 5.96 (d, 1 H, Gal: H-3), 7.07-8.07 (m, 35 H, H_{arom}); ${}^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (CDCl₃) δ 54.1 (Man: OCH₃), 55.4 (Gal: OCH₃), 61.4 (Man: C-6), 67.3, 68.6 (Gal: C-1, C-7), 70.2, 71.2, 71.4, 73.6, 74.6, 75.5, 77.6, 80.4 (Gal: C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, Man: C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 71.6, 72.0, 72.6, 72.2, 74.3, 75.1 (6 $\times CH_2$ benzyl), 96.8 (O-CH₂-O), 98.6 (Man: C-1), 99.1 (Gal: C-2), 127.2-132.6 (CH_{arom}), 130.6-138.8 (C_{arom}), 165.7 (C=O).

Next 25 β was eluted: 26 mg; R_f 0.55; ¹H NMR (400 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 3.18 (s, 3 H, Man: OCH₃), 3.22 (s, 3 H, Gal: OCH₃), 3.45 (dd, 1 H, Gal: H-7, J_{6.7} = 5.2 Hz, J_{7.7}; = -8.8 Hz), 3.52 (t, 1 H, Gal: H-7', J_{6.7}, J_{7.7}; = -8.5 Hz), 3.63-3.69 (m, 2 H, Man: H-2, H-5), 3.68 (d, 1 H, Gal: H-1, J_{1,1}; = -10.6 Hz), 3.82 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-3, J_{2,3} = 3.1 Hz, J_{3,4} = 9.1 Hz), 3.88 (t, 1 H, Man: H-4, J_{3,4}, J_{4,5} = 9.4 Hz), 4.04-4.08 (m, 2 H, Gal: H-5, Man: H-6), 4.08 (d, 1H, Gal: H-1'), 4.13 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-6', J_{5,6}; = 4.6 Hz, J_{6,6}; = -10.1 Hz), 4.18 (dd, 1 H, Gal: H-4, J_{3,4} = 10.3 Hz, J_{4,5} = 2.6 Hz), 4.30 (bdd, 1 H, Gal: H-6), 4.24; 4.43-5.02 (3 s, 4 × AB, 14 H, 6 × CH₂ benzyl O-CH₂-O), 4.64 (d, 1 H, Man: H-1, J_{1,2} = 1.9), 6.16 (d, 1 H, Gal: H-3), 7.07-8.01 (m, 35 H, H_{arom.}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 54.4 (Man: OCH₃), 55.4 (Gal: OCH₃), 60.5 (Man: C-6), 68.7, 71.2, 71.4, 72.0, 72.2, 73.3, 74.3 (Gal: C-1, C-7, 6 × CH₂ benzyl), 69.5, 71.1, 72.7, 72.9, 74.5, 74.6, 78.3, 80.3 (Gal: C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, Man: C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 96.8 (O-CH₂-O), 98.4 (Man: C-1), 100.1 (Gal: C-2), 127.0-132.8 (CH_{arom.}), 130.4-139.1 (C_{arom.}), 165.1 (C=O).

Anal. calcd. for C₆₅H₇₀O₁₄ (1075.27): C 72.61, H 6.56; found C 72.53, H 6.61%.

Compound **26** was eluted last (28 mg, R_f 0.38); 1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ (300 MHz 2D COSY) 3.15 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.28 (bs, 1 H, Gal: H-1), 3.56-3.66 (m, 4 H, Gal: H-7', Man: H-2, H-5), 3.68 (bs, 1 H, Gal: H-1'), 3.75 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-6, $J_{5,6}$ = 4.4 Hz, $J_{6,6'}$ = -12.3 Hz), 3.83 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-3, $J_{2,3}$ = 3.0 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ = 9.5 Hz), 4.86-3.92 (m, 2 H, Gal: H-6, Man: H-6'), 3.99-4.03 (m, 2 H, Gal: H-4, H-5), 4.23 (t, 1 H, Man: H-4, J = 10.0 Hz), 4.24, 4.42-5.02 (6 AB, 12 H, 5 × CH₂ benzyl), 4.49 (d, 1 H, Man: H-1, $J_{1,2}$ = 1.8 Hz), 5.93 (d, 1 H, Gal: H-3, $J_{3,4}$ = 9.8 Hz), 7.01-8.14 (m, 35 H, H_{arom}); 13 C{ 1 H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 54.6 (OCH₃), 59.9 (Man: C-6), 63.9 (Gal: C-1), 68.8 (Gal: C-7), 71.2, 72.0, 73.6, 74.8, 77.4, 79.8 (Gal: C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, Man: C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 71.9, 72.0, 72.2, 73.4, 74.4, 74.8 (6 × CH₂ benzyl), 99.1 (Man: C-1), 99.4 (Gal: C-2), 127.4-132.5 (CH_{arom}), 130.4-138.6 (C_{arom}), 166.3 (C=O). Total yield: 49%.

¹H NMR (300 MHz 2D NOESY): A NOE effect was observed between H-3 and H-1 of the galactose moiety for 25α while 25β showed a NOE effect between H-4 and H-1.

1,3,4,5-Tetra-O-benzyl-α-L-fuco-heptulopyranose (28).

Compound 27 (2.15 g, 5.0 mmol) was treated with reagent 8b (6.59 g, 16.0 mmol) as described for the preparation of derivative 11. Work-up and purification furnished 28 as an oil in 92% yield (2.36 g). R_f 0.24 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 1/1, v/v); 1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.18 (d, 3 H, H-7, $J_{6,7}$ = 6.4 Hz), 3.44 (s, 1 H, OH), 3.47 (AB, 2 H, H-1), 3.69 (dd, 1 H, H-5, $J_{4,5}$ = 2.3 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ = 1.3 Hz), 3.95 (d, 1 H, H-3, $J_{3,4}$ = 9.8 Hz), 4.02 (dd, 1 H, H-4), 4.08 (dq, 1 H, H-6), 4.56-5.03 (2 s, 2 AB, 8 H, 4 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.17-7.41 (m, 20 H, I_{arom}); $I^3C\{^1H\}$ NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.8 (C-7), 67.2, 75.5, 77.1, 80.9 (C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 72.0, 73.4, 73.6, 74.4, 75.2 (C-1, 4 × CH₂ benzyl), 97.6 (C-2), 126.7-128.3 (CH_{arom}), 137.7-138.5 (C_{arom}). Anal. calcd. for $C_{34}H_{38}O_6$ (554.69): C 75.79, H 6.91; found C 75.70, H 6.98%.

Ethyl 1,3,4,5-Tetra-O-benzyl-2-thio-α-L-fuco-heptulopyranoside (29).

Compound 28 (2.00 g, 3.61 mmol) was treated with EtSH (0.32 mL, 4.30 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (65 μ L, 0.53 mmol) as described for the preparation of compound 15. After 2 h, an additional amount of BF₃·OEt₂ (65 μ L) was added and stirring was continued for another 2 h when TLC analysis indicated completion of the reaction. Work-up and purification yielded 29 as an oil (1.94 g, 85%). R_f 0.70 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 1/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.21 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), 1.25 (d, 3 H, H-7, J_{6,7} = 6.4 Hz), 2.45 (ABX₃, 2 H, SCH₂CH₃), 3.69 (d, 1 H, H-1, J_{1,1}· = -11.6 Hz), 3.70 (bd, 1 H, H-5, J_{4,5} = 2.8 Hz), 4.01 (bq, 1 H, H-6), 4.09 (dd, 1 H, H-4, J_{3,4} = 9.9 Hz), 4.38-5.09 (s, 2 AB, 8 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl), 4.56 (d, 1 H, H-3), 7.15-7.41 (m, 15 H, H_{arom.}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 15.1 (SCH₂CH₃), 17.0 (C-7), 20.2 (SCH₂CH₃), 69.1, 75.8, 77.4, 81.9 (C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6),

72.7, 73.3, 73.6, 74.5, 75.1 (C-1, $4 \times \text{CH}_2$ benzyl), 92.2 (C-2), 127.0-128.2 (CH_{arom.}), 138.8-140.0 (C_{arom.}). Anal. calcd. for C₃₇H₄₂O₅S (598.81): C 74.22, H 7.07; found C 74.35, H 7.14%.

Ethyl 4,5,6-Tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-3-O-trimethylsilyl-α-L-fuco-3-octulopyranosonate (30) and Ethyl 4,5,6-Tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-α-L-fuco-3-octulopyranosonate (31).

Lactone 27 (3.0 g, 6.94 mmol), was dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 5 mL) and dissolved in THF (30 mL). TMSCH₂COOEt (2.54 mL, 13.88 mmol) and TBAF (2.1 mL, 1.0 M solution in THF) were added and the mixture was stirred for 3.5 h at 50°C. The mixture was diluted with diethyl ether, washed with ice water and brine, dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/3, v/v) yielded 30 as an amorphous solid (2.92 g, 71%). R_f 0.93 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.06 (d, 3 H, H-8, $J_{7,8}$ = 6.2 Hz), 1.14 (t, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃), 2.64 (AB, 2 H, H-2), 3.33 (dd, 1 H, H-5, $J_{4,5}$ = 10.1 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ = 2.8 Hz), 3.44 (dd, 1 H, H-6, $J_{6,7}$ = 1.1 Hz), 3.66 (dq, 1 H, H-7), 3.74 (d, 1 H, H-4), 3.98 (ABX₃, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 4.44-4.92 (3 AB, 6 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.07-7.28 (m, 15 H, H_{arom.}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.58 (Si(CH₃)₃), 14.1 (OCH₂CH₃), 16.5 (C-8),38.0 (C-2), 60.0 (OCH₂CH₃), 69.6, 77.4, 80.8, 83.0 (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7), 72.8, 74.7 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 101.4 (C-3), 127.0-128.1 (CH_{arom.}), 138.5-138.7 (C_{arom.}), 169.5 (C-1). Further elution gave 31 as an oil (0.47 g, 13%). R_f 0.70; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 13.8 (OCH₂CH₃), 16.5 (C-8),40.5 (C-2), 60.7 (OCH₂CH₃), 67.0, 77.3, 78.1, 80.6 (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7), 72.5, 74.5 75.0 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 97.3 (C-3), 126.7-128.4 (CH_{arom.}), 138.0-138.5 (C_{arom.}), 172.5 (C-1).

Ethyl (Ethyl 4,5,6-Tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-3-thio-α-L-fuco-3-octulopyranosid)onate (32).

Method A (from 30): Compound 30 (1.10 g, 1.86 mmol) was dried by evaporation with toluene (3 \times 5 mL) and dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL). Crushed molecular sieves (0.4 nm), EtSH (0.55 mL, 3.72 mmol) and TMSOTf (36 μ L, 0.19 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min, when TLC analysis (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v) showed complete disappearance of the starting compound. The reaction mixture was quenched with TEA, filtered and washed with a 10% NH₄Cl solution and water. Drying (MgSO₄), evaporation of the solvent and subsequent purification of the crude product by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/8, v/v) yielded 32 as an oil (0.99 g, 94%).

Method B (from 31): Compound 31 (410 mg, 0.79 mmol) was dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 2 mL) and dissolved in 5 mL dichloromethane. Crushed molecular sieves (0.4 nm), DIPEA (0.15 mL, 0.86 mmol) and Tf₂O (0.14 mL, 0.83 mmol) were subsequently added. After stirring for 5 min, EtSH (0.24 mL, 1.61 mmol) was added and stirring was continued for 1 h, when TLC analysis showed completion of the reaction. The mixture was filtered and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and with water. Drying (MgSO₄), evaporation of the solvent and subsequent purification as described for method A yielded 32 as an oil (370 mg, 83%). R_f 0.93 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.08 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), 1.19 (d, 3 H, H-8, J_{7,8} = 6.4 Hz), 1.23 (t, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃), 2.45 (ABX₃, 2 H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.96 (AB, 2 H, H-2), 3.66 (bd, 1 H, H-6, J_{5,6} = 1.9 Hz), 3.92-4.12 (m, 4 H, H-5, H-7, OCH₂CH₃), 4.55-5.07 (s, 2 AB, 6 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl), 4.64 (d, 1 H, H-4, J_{4,5} = 9.6 Hz), 7.22-7.37 (m, 15 H, H_{arom.}); 13 C{ 1 H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 13.8, 14.1 (SCH₂CH₃, OCH₂CH₃), 16.4 (C-8),20.0 (SCH₂CH₃), 43.8 (C-2), 60.3 (OCH₂CH₃), 68.7, 77.2, 77.3, 81.6 (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7), 72.3, 74.4, 75.0 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 90.1 (C-3), 126.9-128.1 (CH_{arom.}), 138.4-138.8 (C_{arom.}), 168.6 (C-1).

Ethyl 4,5,6-Tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-3-thio-α-L-fuco-3-octulopyranoside (33).

Compound **32** (1.36 g, 2.41 mmol), dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 5 ml) was dissolved in THF (5 mL) and added to a suspension of LiALH₄ (100 mg, 2.64 mmol) in THF (15 mL) at 0°C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then refluxed until TLC analysis showed complete disappearance of the starting compound (15 min). After cooling to 0°C the excess LiAlH₄ was carefully destroyed with water, 20 mL of a 10% NH₄Cl solution and Celite were added and stirring was continued for 30 min. The mixture was filtered and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo* to give compound **33** in a quantitative yield. R_f 0.74 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.17 (d, 3 H, H-8, J_{7,8} = 6.4 Hz), 1.23 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.02-2.21 (m, 2 H, H-2), 2.31-2.59 (ABX₃, 2 H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.74 (m, 1 H, OH), 3.40-3.59 (m, 2 H, H-1), 3.69 (bd, 1 H, H-6, J_{5,6} = 2.6 Hz), 4.04 (bq, 1 H, H-7), 4.11 (dd, 1 H, H-5, J_{4,5} = 9.9 Hz), 4.24 (d, 1 H, H-4), 4.62-5.05 (s, 2 AB, 6 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.26-7.36 (m, 15 H, H_{arom}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.3 (SCH₂CH₃), 16.6 (C-8), 20.0 (SCH₂CH₃), 40.2 (C-2), 58.7 (C-1), 68.3, 76.5, 76.7, 81.9 (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7), 72.2, 74.2, 74.8 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 92.2 (C-3), 94.8 (OCH₂O), 127.2-128.1 (CH_{arom}), 138.0-138.5 (C_{arom}).

Ethyl 4,5,6-Tri-O-benzyl-1-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-deoxy-3-thio-α-L-fuco-3-octulopyranoside (34).

Compound 33 (290 mg, 0.52 mmol) was dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 5 mL), dissolved in pyridine (3 mL) and TBDPSCI was added (0.14 mL, 0.55 mmol). After stirring for 16 h water was added and the mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was redissolved in dichloromethane, the solution was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and with water. Drying (MgSO₄), evaporation of the solvent and subsequent purification of the crude product by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/8, v/v) yielded 34 as an oil (380 mg, 91%). R_f 0.96 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.02 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.14 (d, 3 H, H-8, $J_{7,8}$ = 6.4 Hz), 1.16 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.15-2.47 (m, 4 H, H-2, SCH₂CH₃), 3.63 (dd, 1 H, H-6, $J_{5,6}$ = 2.3 Hz, $J_{6,7}$ = 1.1 Hz), 3.80-4.05 (m, 4 H, H-1, H-5, H-7), 4.13 (d, 1 H, H-4, $J_{3,4}$ = 9.6 Hz), 4.56-4.98 (s, 2 AB, 6 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.20-7.40, 7.60-7.67 (m, 25 H, H_{arom.}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.6 (SCH₂CH₃), 17.0 (C-8), 19.3 (SiC(CH₃)₃), 20.3 (SCH₂CH₃), 27.0 (SiC(CH₃)₃), 42.4 (C-2), 60.4 (C-1), 68.5, 77.3, 79.3, 82.3 (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7), 72.7, 74.5, 75.2 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 90.9 (C-3), 127.3-129.5, 135.7 (CH_{arom.}), 134.0, 138.9 (C_{arom.}). Anal. calcd. for C₄₇H₅₆O₅SSi (761.12): C 74.17, H 7.42; found C 74.28, H 7.49%.

Ethyl 4,5,6-Tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-3-thio-1-O-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxymethyl]-α-L-fuco-3-octulopyranoside (35).

Compound 33 (1.09 g, 2.09 mmol), dried by evaporation with toluene (3 × 5 ml), was dissolved in 15 mL dioxane. DIPEA (1.13 mL, 6.27 mmol) and SEMCl (0.92 mL, 5.23 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred for 2.5 h. Excess SEMCl was destroyed with methanol (0.5 mL), water was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was washed with a 10% NH₄Cl solution and brine, dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/10, v/v) gave 35 as an oil in a 87% yield (1.19 g). R_f 0.98 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.82-0.91 (m, 2 H, OCH₂CH₂Si), 1.18 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), 1.24 (d, 3 H, H-8, J_{7,8} = 6.4 Hz), 2.25-2.55 (m, 4 H, H-2, SCH₂CH₃), 3.49-3.72 (m, 5 H, H-1, H-6, OCH₂CH₂Si), 3.99 (bq, 1 H, H-7), 4.07 (dd, 1 H, H-5, J_{4,5} = 9.2 Hz, J_{5,6} = 2.1 Hz), 4.16 (d, 1 H, H-4), 4.52-5.02 (s, 3 AB, 8 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl, OCH₂O), 7.22-7.36 (m, 15 H, H_{arom.}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ -1.4 (Si(CH₃)₃), 14.1 (SCH₂CH₃), 16.8 (C-8), 18.0 (OCH₂CH₂Si), 20.2 (SCH₂CH₃), 39.3 (C-2), 63.8, 64.9 (C-1, OCH₂CH₂Si), 68.5, 77.2, 78.5, 82.1 (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7), 72.6, 74.4, 75.1 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 90.8 (C-3), 94.8 (OCH₂O), 127.2-128.3 (CH_{arom.}), 138.5-139.0 (C_{arom.}).

Methyl 2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-6-O-(1,3,4,5-tetra-O-benzyl-α-L-fuco-heptulopyranosyl)-α-D-mannopyra-noside (36).

Donor 28 (100 mg, 0.18 mmol) and acceptor 20 (100 mg, 0.21 mmol) were dried by evaporation with toluene (3 x 2 mL), dissolved in trichloroacetonitril (5 mL) and stirred for 15 min with crushed molecular sieves (0.4 nm). BF₃·OEt₂ (44 µL, 0.36 mmol) was added and stirring was continued. After 2 h TLC-analysis showed complete disappearance of the donor. The reaction mixture was quenched with TEA, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in dichloromethane and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and water. Drying (MgSO4), evaporation of the solvent and subsequent purification of the crude product by column chromatography (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 0/1 to 1/3, v/v) yielded 36 as an oil (115 mg, 65%). R_f 0.68 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (300 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 1.18 (d, 3 H, Fuc: H-7, $J_{6,7}$ = 6.5 Hz), 3.22 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.57 (dd, 1 H, Fuc: H-5, $J_{4.5} = 2.8$ Hz, $J_{5.6} = 1.1$ Hz), 3.56-3.61 (m, 1 H, Man: H-5)3.59 (d, 1 H, Fuc: H-1, $J_{1,1}$ = -10.4 Hz), 3.70 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-6, $J_{5,6}$ = 4.5 Hz, $J_{6,6}$ = -10.4 Hz), 3.76 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-2, $J_{1,2}$ = 1.7 Hz, $J_{2,3} = 3.1 \text{ Hz}$), 3.78 (d, 1 H, Fuc: H-1'), 3.80 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-6', $J_{5,6'} = 1.1 \text{ Hz}$), 3.84 (dd, 1 H, Man: H-3, $J_{3,4} = 9.5 \text{ Hz}$), 3.98 (dd, 1 H, Fuc: H-4, J_{3,4} = 10.1 Hz), 4.04 (t, 1 H, Man: H-4), 4.14 (dq, 1 H, Fuc: H-6), 4.29 (d, 1 H, Fuc: H-3), 4.40-5.02 (2 s, 5 AB, 14 H, 4 × CH₂ benzyl), 4.66 (d, 1 H, Man: H-1), 7.02-7.48 (m, 35 H, H_{arom}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.8 (Fuc: C-7), 54.3 (OCH₃), 60.0 (Man: C-6), 67.2, 71.4, 74.4, 75.6, 76.1, 77.2, 80.0, 80.4 (Fuc: C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, Man: C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 70.3 (Fue: C-1), 72.1, 72.2, 73.0, 73.4, 74.1, 74.6, 74.9 (7 × CH_2 benzyl), 98.9 (Man: C-1), 100.9 (Fue: C-2), 126.7-128.2 (CH_{arom.}), 138.1-139.1 (C_{arom.}). ¹H NMR (300 MHz NOE-diff.): A NOE effect was observed between H-1 and H-3 of the fucose moiety.

Anal. calcd. for C₆₃H₆₈O₁₁ (1001.24): C 75.58, H 6.85; found C 75.66, H 6.61%.

Anal. calcd. for C₃₇H₅₂O₆SSi (652.97): C 68.06, H 8.03; found C 68.13, H 8.14%.

Cyclohexyl 1,3,4,5-Tetra-O-benzyl- α -L-fuco-heptulopyranoside (37).

Donor 29 (200 mg, 0.32 mmol) and cyclohexanol 21 (67 µL, 0.64 mmol) were treated with IDCP (300 mg, 0.64 mmol) at -10°C as described for the synthesis of disaccharide 24 (method B). Reaction time: 15 min. After work-up and purification 37 was

isolated in 85% yield (180 mg). R_f 0.61 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (d, 3 H, H-7, $J_{6,7}$ = 6:4 Hz), 1.17-1.77 (m, 10 H, 5 × CH₂ Chex), 3.61 (d, 1 H, H-1, $J_{1,1}$; = -10.5 Hz), 3.68-3.79 (m, 1H, OCH cHex), 3.71 (bd, 1 H, H-5, $J_{4,5}$ = 2.6 Hz), 3.84, (d, 1 H, H-1'), 3.97 (dq, 1 H, H-6), 4.00 (dd, 1 H, H-4, $J_{3,4}$ = 10.3 Hz), 4.40 (d, 1 H, H-3), 4.34-5.07 (s, 3 AB, 8 H, 4 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.17-7.77 (m, 20 H, $H_{arom.}$); 13 C{ 1 H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.9 (C-7), 24.5, 24.8, 25.4, 34.3, 34.9 (5 × CH₂ cHex), 67.7, 69.8, 76.1, 77.7, 80.7 (C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, OCH cHex), 71.0 (C-1), 72.6, 73.4, 74.3, 75.0 (4 × CH₂ benzyl), 102.2 (C-2), 127.0-128.2 (CH_{arom.}), 138.5-139.1 (C_{arom.}).

Anal. calcd. for C₄₁H₄₈O₆ (636.83): C 77.33, H 7.60; found C 77.21, H 7.66%.

Cyclohexyl $3 \cdot O - (1,3,4,5 \cdot \text{Tetra} \cdot O - \text{benzyl} \cdot \alpha - \text{L} \cdot fuco - \text{heptulopyranosyl}) - 4,6 \cdot O - \text{benzylidene-} 2 - \text{deoxy-} 2 - \text{phtalimido-} \beta - \text{D-glucopyranoside}$ (38).

Donor **29** (100 mg, 0.16 mmol) and acceptor **22** (100 mg, 0.21 mmol) were treated with IDCP (150 mg, 0.33 mmol) at -10°C as described for the synthesis of disaccharide **24** (*method B*). Reaction time: 10 min. After work-up and purification pure **38** was obtained in 65% yield (110 mg). R_f 0.79 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1H NMR (300 MHz 2D COSY) (CDCl₃) δ 0.98 (d, 3 H, Fuc: H-7, $J_{6,7}$ = 6.5 Hz), 1.02-1.82 (m, 10 H, 5 × CH₂ cHex), 3.64 (m, 1 H, Fuc: H-5), 3.53-3.69 (m, 3 H, GlcNPhth: H-4, H-6, OCH cHex), 3.72 (d, 1 H, Fuc: H-1, $J_{1,1'}$ = -10.4 Hz), 3.79 (d, 1 H, Fuc: H-1'), 3.79-3.86 (m, 1 H, GlcNPhth: H-5), 3.90 (dd, 1 H, Fuc: H-4, $J_{3,4}$ = 10.4 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ = 2.7 Hz), 3.98 (AB, 2 H, CH₂ benzyl), 4.09 (d, 1 H, Fuc: H-3), 4.12 (dq, 1 H, Fuc: H-6, $J_{5,6}$ = 1.1 Hz), 4.27 (dd, 1 H, GlcNPhth: H-2, $J_{1,2}$ = 8.6 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ = 10.1 Hz), 4.40 (dd, 1 H, GlcNPhth: H-6, $J_{5,6'}$ = 4.2 Hz, $J_{6,6'}$ = -10.2 Hz), 4.50 (AB, 2 H, CH₂ benzyl), 4.58 (s, 2 H, CH₂ benzyl), 4.69 (dd, 1 H, GlcNPhth: H-3, $J_{3,4}$ = 8.3 Hz), 5.39 (d, 1 H, GlcNPhth: H-1), 5.53 (s, 1 H, CHPh), 6.70-7.62 (m, 29 H, I_{arom}); I^{13} C[1 H) NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.7 (Fuc: C-7), 23.3, 23.6, 25.3, 31.4, 33.1 (5 × CH₂ cHex), 56.0 (GlcNPhth: C-2), 66.3, 67.5, 69.9, 76.2, 77.2, 78.7, 80.1, 82.1 (Fuc: C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, GlcNPhth: C-3, C-4, C-5, OCH cHex), 68.7, 69.4 (Fuc: C-1, GlcNPhth: C-6), 73.1, 73.4, 74.0, 74.2 (4 × CH₂ benzyl), 97.1 (GlcNPhth: C-1), 100.9 (CHPh), 101.9 (Fuc: C-2), 122.8-133.5 (CH_{arom}), 137.2-139.3 (C_{arom}). Anal. calcd. for $C_{64}H_{65}O_{11}N$ (1024.23): C 75.05, H 6.40, N 1.37; found C 75.11, H 6.35, N 1.50%.

Cyclohexyl 4,5,6-Tri-O-benzyl-1-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-deoxy-\u03a3-c-fuco-3-octulopyranoside (39).

Donor 34 (380 mg, 0.50 mmol) was condensed with cyclohexanol (21, 1.00 mL, 0.96 mmol) under the agency of IDCT (260 mg, 0.50 mmol), as described for the synthesis of compound 24 (method B) at -10°C. Reaction time: 5 min. Work-up and purification gave 39 as an oil (380 mg, 95%). R_f 0.96 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.00 (s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 1.09 (d, 3 H, H-8, $J_{7,8}$ = 6.7 Hz), 1.62-1.73 (m, 10 H, 5 × CH₂ cHex), 2.04-2.28 (m, 2 H, H-2), 3.62-3.64 (m, 2 H, H-6, OCH cHex), 3.80-3.92 (m, 4 H, H-1, H-4, H-7), 3.99 (dd, 1 H, H-5, $J_{4,5}$ = 10.0 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ = 2.6 Hz), 4.47-4.97 (s, 2 AB, 6 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl), 7.15-7.64 (m, 25 H, H_{arom}): 13 C{ 1 H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.7 (C-8), 19.0 (SiC(CH₃)₃), 24.5, 24.8, 25.5, 34.0, 34.5 (5 × CH₂ cHex), 37.4 (C-2), 60.3 (C-1), 67.0, 69.3, 77.5, 78.0, 80.8 (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7, OCH cHex), 72.2, 74.1, 74.5 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 101.3 (C-3), 126.9-135.4 (CH_{arom}), 133.8-139.0 (C_{arom}). Anal. calcd. for C₅₁H₆₂O₆Si (799.14): C 76.66, H 7.82; found C 76.57, H 7.86%.

Cyclohexyl $3-O-(4,5,6-\text{Tri-}O-\text{benzyl-}2-\text{deoxy-}1-O-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxymethyl]}-\alpha-L-fuco-3-octulopyranosyl}-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-2-phtalimido-<math>\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (40).

 C-8), 17.6 (OCH₂CH₂Si), 23.2, 23.5, 25.1, 31.2, 31.6 (5 × CH₂ cHex), 33.3 (Fue: C-2), 55.7 (GlcNPhth: C-2), 63.5, 64.4 (Fue: C-1, OCH₂CH₂Si), 66.1, 66.9, 69.1, 75.7, 76.9, 80.9, 82.2 (Fue: C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7, Man: C-3, C-4, C-5, OCH cHex), 72.7, 73.2, 74.0 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 94.3 (OCH₂O), 97.0 (GlcNPhth: C-1), 100.5 (CHPh), 101.4 (Fue: C-3), 122.6-133.3 (CH_{arom.}), 137.2-139.1 (C_{arom.}), 168.3 (C=O).

Anal. calcd. for C64H76O13NSi (1095.40): C 70.18, H 7.00, N 1.28; found C 70.10, H 7.14, N 1.26%.

Further elution yielded **41** (85 mg, 13%). R_f 0.92 (diethyl ether/petroleum ether, 2/1, v/v); 1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.88-0.97 (m, 2 H, OCH₂CH₂Si), 1.26 (d, 3 H, H-8, J_{7,8} = 6.4 Hz), 3.56-3.72 (m, 5 H, H-5, H-6, H-7, OCH₂CH₂Si), 4.12 (ddd, 1 H, H-1, J_{1,1} = -11.8 Hz, J_{1,2} = 6.4 Hz, J_{1,4} = 1.7 Hz), 4.28 (dd, 1 H, H-1', J_{1',2} = 7.7 Hz), 4.38 (bd, 1 H, H-4, J_{3,4} = 9.2 Hz), 4.62-5.00 (2 s, 2 AB, 8 H, 3 × CH₂ benzyl, OCH₂O), 5.31 (ddd, 1 H, H-2, J_{2,4} = 1.7 Hz), 7.24-7.36 (m, 15 H, H_{arom.}); 13 C{ 1 H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ -1.5 (Si(CH₃)₃), 14.1 (SCH₂CH₃), 16.8 (C-8), 18.0 (OCH₂CH₂Si), 60.9, 64.8 (C-1, OCH₂CH₂Si), 72.9, 73.7, 74.4 (3 × CH₂ benzyl), 75.7, 76.5, 76.9, 82.5 (C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7), 93.9 (OCH₂O), 106.1 (C-2), 127.2-128.2 (CH_{arom.}), 138.4 (C_{arom.}), 153.1 (C-3).

References

- 1. Nicotra, F.; Panza, L.; Russo, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 4035.
- 2. Noort, D.; Veeneman, G.H.; Boons, G.J.P.H.; van der Marel, G.A.; Mulder, G.J.; van Boom, J.H. Synlett 1990, 205.
- 3. RajanBabu, T.V.; Reddy, J.P. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5458.
- 4. Campbell, M.M.; Heffernan, G.D.; Lewis, T. Carbohydr. Res., 1994, 251, 243.
- 5. Haudrechy, A.; Sinaÿ, P. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 4142.
- 6. Barrett, A.G.M.; Bezuidenhoudt, B.C.B.; Melcher, L.M. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5197.
- 7. Noort, D.; van Straten, N.C.R.; Boons, G.J.P.H.; van der Marel, G.A.; Bossuyt, X.; Blanckaert, N.; Mulder, G.J.; van Boom, J.H. *BioMed. Chem. Lett.* 1992, 2, 583.
- 8. Heskamp, B.M.; Noort, D.; van der Marel, G.A.; van Boom, J.H. Synlett 1992, 713.
- 9. Csuk, R.; Glänzer, B.I. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1990, 9, 809.
- 10. Still, W.C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1481.
- 11. Seyferth, D.; Andrews, S.B.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 30, 151.
- 12. Overkleeft, H.S.; van Wiltenburg, J.; Pandit, U.K. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 4215.
- 13. Rosenbrook, Wm., Jr.; Riley, D.A.; Lartey, P.A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 3.
- 14. Phillips, L.; Wray, V. J. Chem. Soc. (B) 1971, 1618.
- 15. Haneda, T.; Goekjian, P.G.; Kim, S.H.; Kishi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 490.
- 16. Borén, H.B.; Eklind, K.; Garegg, P.J.; Lindberg, B.; Pilotti, Å. Acta Chem. Scand., 1972, 26, 4143.
- 17. Suzuki, K.; Maeta, H.; Suzuki, T.; Matsumoto, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 6879.
- 18. Kunz, H.; Sager, W. Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 86, 283.
- 19. Veeneman, G.H.; van Boom, J.H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 275.
- 20. Vernay, H.F.; Rachaman, E.S.; Eby, R.; Schuerch, C. Carbohydr. Res. 1980, 78, 267.
- 21. Cai, S.; Stroud, M.R.; Hakomori, S.; Toyokuni, T. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6693.
- 22. Veeneman, G.H.; van Leeuwen, S.H.; Zuurmond, H.M.; van Boom, J.H. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1990, 9, 783.
- 23. Prepared in the same manner as the corresponding methyl glycoside. Zuurmond, H.M.; van der Klein, P.A.M.; de Wildt, J.; van der Marel, G.A.; van Boom, J.H. J. Carbohydr. Chem., 1994, 13, 323.